

Chaparral Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Chaparral Elementary School
Street	37500 50th Street East
City, State, Zip	Palmdale, CA 93552-4517
Phone Number	(661) 285-9777
Principal	Thomas Pitts
E-mail Address	tapitts@palmdalesd.org
Web Site	
CDS Code	19648576106900

District Contact Information	
District Name	Palmdale Elementary School District
Phone Number	(661) 947-7191
Superintendent	Raul Maldonado
E-mail Address	DJNardi@palmdalesd.org
Web Site	www.palmdalesd.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Palmdale School District Mission Statement

The mission of the Palmdale School District is to implement our vision with actions and services targeted to students, parents and staff so our students can live their lives to their full potential. The mission of Chaparral Elementary is in line with that of the district. Our mission is to provide each child with a rigorous and relevant academic education, a safe learning environment and the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary for success in the 21st Century.

Chaparral Elementary School is a Transitional Kindergarten through 6th grade school on a traditional school calendar, located in the city of Palmdale, California. Chaparral serves a diverse community and is located in a low socioeconomic area. The student mobility rate is approximately 18% and the actual attendance rate is approximately 97%. The district utilizes a data collection program which interfaces with Infinite Campus, our present data based student information program, to assist with reducing the lag time of information when a student transfers within the district. This will help to ensure a continuous educational program for transient students. Chaparral Elementary houses eight state preschool classrooms on the campus. Access to a state preschool provides opportunity for articulation between preschool and kindergarten staff, resulting in a stronger, more effective program to start our student's education.

Chaparral School is currently using Common Formative Assessments periodically to assist in driving instruction through the use of data analysis. This data will provide direction to determine the needs for student achievement, which will support with learners who struggle as well as students who need challenging through enrichment. Chaparral is also currently using the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) online system for state testing with the use of a computer adaptive test called Smarter Balance Assessment Consortium. Chaparral students have scored within the district average with regards to the SBAC. We are committed to developing Professional Learning Communities and implementing Common Core State Standards to meet the needs of all students. Student academic achievement is our focus. This focus is centered around the four essential questions. What do we want students to know and be able to do? How will we know when they have achieved it? What will we do when they don't? What will we do when they have?

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	145
Grade 1	113
Grade 2	98
Grade 3	107
Grade 4	150
Grade 5	148
Grade 6	125
Total Enrollment	886

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	15
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.1
Asian	0.7
Filipino	0.6
Hispanic or Latino	77.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	3.8
Two or More Races	0.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	92.8
English Learners	28.8
Students with Disabilities	13.8
Foster Youth	4.1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	36	29	32	810
Without Full Credential	0	0	2	31
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	84.4	15.6
All Schools in District	88.8	11.2
High-Poverty Schools in District	89.0	11.0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	61.9	38.1

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015

All students are provided with appropriate textbooks in each curriculum area.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	K-6 ELA/ELD: Houghton Mifflin Medallions 2010 7-8 ELA: Pearson Literature California 2010 7-8 ELD: Pearson Language Central 2010 4-8 Core Replacement: Cambium Learning Group - Language! 2010	Yes	0
Mathematics	Math K-5: Eureka/Engage New York Math 2015 Math 6 – 8: Houghton Mifflin Math Big Ideas 2015	Yes	0
Science	K-6: Harcourt California Edition Science 2007 7-8: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston 2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	K-6: Macmillan McGraw Hill 2007 7-8: McDougal Littell 2006	Yes	0
Foreign Language	7-8: Pearson Learning 2011	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Data reported is the determination of good repair as documented in a completed Interim Evaluation Instrument, including the school site inspection date, the Interim Evaluation Instrument completion date, and the date of any remedial action taken or planned. Additional information about the condition of the school's facilities may be obtained by speaking with the school principal.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: July 2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	27	26	29	31	44	48
Mathematics	20	19	19	19	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	118	115	97.5	27.0
	4	159	158	99.4	19.0
	5	150	150	100.0	24.7
	6	132	131	99.2	34.6
Male	3	55	54	98.2	20.4
	4	68	67	98.5	13.4
	5	82	82	100.0	20.7
	6	67	67	100.0	35.8
Female	3	63	61	96.8	32.8
	4	91	91	100.0	23.1
	5	68	68	100.0	29.4
	6	65	64	98.5	33.3
Black or African American	3	19	17	89.5	47.1
	4	26	25	96.2	16.0
	5	26	26	100.0	15.4
	6	18	18	100.0	11.8
Hispanic or Latino	3	89	88	98.9	22.7
	4	124	124	100.0	19.4
	5	114	114	100.0	25.4
	6	106	105	99.1	39.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	109	106	97.3	24.5
	4	150	149	99.3	17.4
	5	140	140	100.0	22.1
	6	113	112	99.1	33.3
English Learners	3	31	30	96.8	3.3
	4	35	35	100.0	
	5	30	30	100.0	
	6	21	20	95.2	
Students with Disabilities	3	11	10	90.9	
	4	32	32	100.0	3.1
	5	35	35	100.0	2.9
	6	26	26	100.0	

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	118	116	98.3	23.3
	4	159	158	99.4	15.8
	5	150	150	100.0	16.0
	6	132	132	100.0	20.6
Male	3	55	54	98.2	25.9
	4	68	67	98.5	16.4
	5	82	82	100.0	15.8
	6	67	67	100.0	19.4
Female	3	63	62	98.4	21.0
	4	91	91	100.0	15.4
	5	68	68	100.0	16.2
	6	65	65	100.0	21.9
Black or African American	3	19	17	89.5	29.4
	4	26	25	96.2	8.0
	5	26	26	100.0	11.5
	6	18	18	100.0	11.8
Hispanic or Latino	3	89	89	100.0	22.5
	4	124	124	100.0	17.7
	5	114	114	100.0	15.8
	6	106	106	100.0	23.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	109	107	98.2	21.5
	4	150	149	99.3	14.8
	5	140	140	100.0	16.4
	6	113	113	100.0	19.6
English Learners	3	31	31	100.0	12.9
	4	35	35	100.0	
	5	30	30	100.0	
	6	21	21	100.0	
Students with Disabilities	3	11	10	90.9	10.0
	4	32	32	100.0	6.3
	5	35	35	100.0	2.9
	6	26	26	100.0	

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	39	48	36	48	49	40	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	150	150	100.0	36.0
Male	82	82	100.0	35.4
Female	68	68	100.0	36.8
Black or African American	26	26	100.0	26.9
Hispanic or Latino	114	114	100.0	36.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	140	140	100.0	34.3
English Learners	30	30	100.0	3.3
Students with Disabilities	35	35	100.0	22.9

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	22.3	17.6	18.9

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parental involvement is encouraged at our site. There are a number of ways to become involved including the ELAC -English Language Advisory Committee, DELAC, CAAPAC -Chaparral African American Parent Advisory Council, School Site Council. Family literacy, parent Academy, parenting Partners and Volunteering opportunities.

Chaparral is also actively pursuing improving its PTA participation through an active and continual recruitment program. We value our parents and encourage participation.

Communication between home and school is a priority. Chaparral uses the School Messenger system, Peach-jar, parent workshops, home visits, Parent Academy and classroom newsletters to keep parents updated on school activities.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	7.1	4.0	3.9	6.4	5.6	5.3	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Chaparral is committed to providing a safe environment for students, staff and community members. We maintain a secure campus with access limited to the main office entrance. The campus employees are provided with a Safety/ Disaster Plan and evacuation map, and are instructed on how to handle various safety and emergency situations.

Our site Safety Committee meets regularly to review and address safety concerns, and a site representative attends district Safety Committee meetings. Safety and evacuation drills are held to help prepare students in responding to unsafe and emergency situations.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	23
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	88.5

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	29	1	3		30		10		29	0	4	0
1	27		3		28		6		29	0	4	0
2	29		5		29		8		25	0	4	0
3	25	1	5		24	3	10		29	0	4	0
4	34			3	34			8	28	1	4	0
5	31		4		31		6		26	1	4	0
6	26	1	5		29	2	4	6	28	0	4	0
Other									13	3	0	0

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.5	N/A
Psychologist	.5	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist		N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$269.39	\$220.92	\$48.46	\$58,048.19
District	N/A	N/A	\$85.08	\$71,737
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-43.0	-13.9
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$75,137
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-99.1	-20.5

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

The types of services funded to improve student achievement include: Gifted and Talented Education, After School Programs, Reading Support, a Learning Support Teacher, Technology Training, Staff Development Opportunities, and summer conferences.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,935	\$44,573
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$69,045	\$72,868
Highest Teacher Salary	\$82,275	\$92,972
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$110,473	\$116,229
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$108,565	\$119,596
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$121,883
Superintendent Salary	\$200,850	\$201,784
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Teachers meet frequently within and across grade levels in lesson study and grade specific institutes to plan and discuss what they are doing and how to coordinate instruction. A teacher survey is taken at the end of the school year that guides site professional development and in-service selection decisions. Student data is also used to determine professional development offerings. Sites design specific professional development based on academic content standards, the California Standards for the Teaching Profession, and research-based practices.

Educational Services, Special Education, English Learners, Student Assessment and Accountability, and Teacher Support departments participate in the planning and implementation of District staff development activities. Courses are offered throughout the year on week nights and Saturdays.

Trainings are also offered during the summer months on content specific and professional areas such as SIOP, Teacher Leaders, English Language Arts, mathematics workshops, unpacking standards, and intervention programs. Administration training focuses on the development of learning communities.

Conference and convention attendance, in-service meetings and staff collaboration offer additional opportunities for professional growth. Teachers observe other professionals, engage in peer coaching and work with mentors and grade level or departmental colleagues.