# Santee Success Program School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

**Published During 2018-19** 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### **About This School**

#### Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)

	(Jenoti Fear 2010 15)	
School Contact Information		
School Name	Santee Success Program	
Street	10280 North Magnolia Ave.	
City, State, Zip	Santee, Ca, 92071	
Phone Number	619-956-2453	
Principal	Tim Larson	
E-mail Address	tim.larson@santeesd.net	
Web Site	www.santeesd.net	
CDS Code	37683616120190	

District Contact Information		
District Name	Santee School District	
Phone Number	(619) 258-2300	
Superintendent	Dr. Kristin Baranski	
E-mail Address	kristin.baranski@santeesd.net	
Web Site	www.santeesd.net	

# School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Santee Success Program is an opportunity for students to learn in a small setting.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

State of Employment by Grade Level (School Fed. 2017 20)				
Grade	Number of			
Level	Students			
Grade 7	1			
Grade 8	2			
Total Enrollment	3			

# Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	0.0
Filipino	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	33.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
White	33.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33.3
English Learners	0.0
Students with Disabilities	0.0
Foster Youth	0.0

# A. Conditions of Learning

### **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

#### **Teacher Credentials**

T		District		
Teachers	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	1	1	1	349
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

#### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	2	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Year and month in which data were collected: 11/2018

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Reading Wonders (K-5) and Amplify Learning (6-8) Both adopted 2017	Yes	0.0
Mathematics	Math Expressions adopted 2015 (K-5) CPM adopted 2013 (6-8)	Yes	0.0
Science	McGraw-Hill (K-5) and Pearson (6-8). Both adopted 2008	No	0.0
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman (K-5) and Glencoe (6-8). Both adopted 2007	No	0.0
Health	Healthy Eating Made Easier (K-8) adopted 2017	Yes	0.0

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional through proper facilities maintenance and campus supervision. Ongoing maintenance and campus improvements ensure facilities remain up to date and provide adequate space for students and staff. District maintenance and site custodial staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good condition are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used by school and district staff to communicate non-routine maintenance requests. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

#### **School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 06/29/18					
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned			
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good				
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good				
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good				
Electrical: Electrical	Good				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good				
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good				
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good				
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good				

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)** 

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 06/29/18	
Overall Rating	Good

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

#### **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

# CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
Subject	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	8.0	8.0	54.0	57.0	48.0	50.0
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	8.0	23.0	47.0	49.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	15	13	86.67	7.69
Male	13	12	92.31	8.33
Female				
Hispanic or Latino				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group**

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	15	13	86.67	23.08
Male	13	12	92.31	25
Female		-	1	
Hispanic or Latino		-	-	
White		-	1	
Two or More Races		-	1	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		-	-	
English Learners		-	-	

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

#### Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard								
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State				
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18			
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

#### **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017-18)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards						
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

#### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parents wishing to volunteer in the classroom can contact the teacher for more information.

#### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

School			District		State				
Rate	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions	21.7	6.7	21.7	5.8	5.1	4.4	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

The Comprehensive School Site Safety Plan was developed in collaboration with local agencies and the district office to fulfill Senate Bill 187 requirements. Components of this plan include child abuse reporting procedures, teacher notification of dangerous pupil procedures, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe arrival and departure from school, sexual harassment policy, and dress code policy. The school's most recent school safety plan was reviewed and updated by school staff in December 2018. Staff responsibilities and safety plan updates are discussed annually with staff.

#### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		2015-16			2016-17				2017-18			
Subject	Subject Avg.		Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Number of Classrooms			
<b>,</b>	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.0	N/A
Psychologist	0.0	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.0	N/A
Other	0.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	25105.38	1294.69	23810.68	78368.
District	N/A	N/A	7257.62	\$81,300
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	106.6	-3.7
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$80,910
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	69.4	-1.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Santee School District receives State and Federal funding. State funding, through the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), is allocated to a variety of funding sources based on the eight State priorities, District priorities, and annual stakeholder feedback. The eight State priorities are:

- 1. Basic Services
- 2. Implementation of Common Core Standards
- 3. Parent Involvement
- 4. Student Achievement
- 5. Student Engagement
- 6. School Climate
- 7. Course Access
- 8. Other Student Outcomes

Federal funding, Title I, Title II, and Title III, provides additional funding for intensive interventions, increased parental involvement, quality professional development for teachers and principals, and increased instructional support for English Learner students.

All funding sources are monitored and maintained through each school site's School Plan for Student Achievement and the District Local Control Accountability Plan.

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

redefici did Administrative Suldives (Fiscal Year 2010 17)							
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category					
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,020	\$50,084					
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,745	\$80,256					
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,529	\$100,154					
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$99,282	\$125,899					
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$130,255					
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$128,660					
Superintendent Salary	\$235,135	\$222,447					
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41.0	37.0					
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0	5.0					

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

All training and curriculum development activities at the Santee Success Program support the implementation of Common Core Content Standards and State curricular frameworks. All teachers, districtwide, annually receive between 3 - 5 days of professional development at the site and/or district level. Decisions concerning selection of staff development activities are performed by the principal, district administrators, and grade level representatives using tools such as teacher input, district benchmark results, data analysis, and focus groups to determine the areas in which additional teacher training may enhance classroom instruction and increase student achievement levels. Santee Success Program certificated staff receive support through peer coaching and mentoring. All staff are encouraged to attend professional workshops and conferences. Classified support staff receive job related training from department supervisors and district representatives.