

# Pepper Drive Elementary School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2017-18 School Year

#### Published During 2018-19

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (School Year 2018-19)**

<b>School Contact Information</b>	
<b>School Name</b>	Pepper Drive Elementary School
<b>Street</b>	1935 Marlinda Way
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	El Cajon, CA 92021
<b>Phone Number</b>	619-956-5100
<b>Principal</b>	Ted Hooks
<b>E-mail Address</b>	ted.hooks@santeesd.net
<b>Web Site</b>	www.santeesd.net
<b>CDS Code</b>	37 68361 6040372

District Contact Information	
District Name	Santee School District
Phone Number	(619) 258-2300
Superintendent	Dr. Kristin Baranski
E-mail Address	kristin.baranski@santeesd.net
Web Site	www.santeesd.net

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018-19)

Pepper Drive School is located in a quiet residential neighborhood of El Cajon, which is part of East San Diego County. As you enter our K-8 campus, built in 1957, a sense of community, caring, and high expectations is evident. From the moment our day begins, you will see happy and eager students, involved parents, and a staff who enjoy their work. Pepper Drive is a place where learning is celebrated, excellence is expected, and parents, staff, and community work together as a “family” to establish a caring, nurturing, and orderly environment for learning.

The Pepper Drive School family supports our common vision that “Pepper Drive School strives to challenge ourselves to think with depth, move with purpose, and create with imagination as we reach for excellence on our journey toward a college and career bound future.” Our purpose is helping children achieve the goals set forth in our vision with high expectations as outlined in our rallying cry, “We are TIGERs. We show Teamwork, Integrity, Goals, Empathy, and Responsibility!”

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017-18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	112
Grade 1	121
Grade 2	106
Grade 3	89
Grade 4	138
Grade 5	113
Grade 6	101
Grade 7	112
Grade 8	86
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>978</b>

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	3.1
Filipino	1.5
Hispanic or Latino	36.0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	47.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	59.7
English Learners	16.1
Students with Disabilities	8.2
Foster Youth	0.3

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19
With Full Credential	41	41	44	349
Without Full Credential	0	1	0	4
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	1	0	0	0

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	2	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)**

Year and month in which data were collected: 11/2018

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Reading Wonders (K-5) and Amplify Learning (6-8) Both adopted 2017	Yes	0.0
Mathematics	Math Expressions adopted 2015 (K-5) CPM adopted 2013 (6-8)	Yes	0.0
Science	McGraw-Hill (K-5) and Pearson (6-8). Both adopted 2008	No	0.0
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman (K-5) and Glencoe (6-8). Both adopted 2007	No	0.0
Health	Healthy Eating Made Easier (K-8) adopted 2017	Yes	0.0

**School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)**

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional through proper facilities maintenance and campus supervision. Pepper Drive School’s original facilities were built in 1957; ongoing maintenance and campus improvements ensure facilities remain up to date and provide adequate space for students and staff. District maintenance and site custodial staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good condition are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used by school and district staff to communicate non-routine maintenance requests. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The building of a new junior high facility started Summer, 2013, and was completed for the 2014-15 school year. Modernization of the administration building began in 2015, and was completed during the 2015-2016 school year.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 06/29/18		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	Good	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	Good	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 06/29/18		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

**Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 06/29/18	
Overall Rating	Good

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
<b>English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)</b>	49.0	51.0	54.0	57.0	48.0	50.0
<b>Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)</b>	44.0	47.0	47.0	49.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	634	629	99.21	51.19
<b>Male</b>	312	309	99.04	46.93
<b>Female</b>	322	320	99.38	55.31
<b>Black or African American</b>	16	16	100.00	43.75

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	20	18	90.00	38.89
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	239	239	100.00	52.30
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	293	290	98.98	52.41
Two or More Races	48	48	100.00	43.75
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	402	399	99.25	45.61
English Learners	148	146	98.65	39.04
Students with Disabilities	71	71	100.00	12.68
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

#### CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017-18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	634	628	99.05	46.97
Male	312	308	98.72	47.08
Female	322	320	99.38	46.88
Black or African American	16	16	100	25
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	20	18	90	55.56
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	239	239	100	39.33
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	293	289	98.63	53.63
Two or More Races	48	48	100	47.92
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	402	398	99	39.7
English Learners	148	146	98.65	38.36
Students with Disabilities	71	70	98.59	12.86
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students  
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard					
	School		District		State	
	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18	2016-17	2017-18
<b>Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

**State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

**California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017-18)**

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
<b>7</b>	27.6	21.0	25.7

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**C. Engagement**

**State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

**Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)**

Parents are encouraged to get involved in their child’s learning environment either by volunteering in the classroom, joining “Peppers Too!” our volunteer group which meets weekly, participating in a decision making group, or simply attending school events. As a schoolwide Title I School, we also have an articulated parent involvement policy that can be found on our school website: [www.santeesd.net/pd](http://www.santeesd.net/pd)

Parents stay informed on upcoming events and school activities through flyers, the school website, social media, the school marquee, the school/district handbook, the school newsletter, and the School Messenger phone/email system. Interested individuals are encouraged to contact the school secretary for more information on how to become involved at 619-956-5100.

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>Suspensions</b>	9.2	4.8	4.5	5.8	5.1	4.4	3.7	3.7	3.5
<b>Expulsions</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2018-19)

The Comprehensive School Site Safety Plan was developed for Pepper Drive School in collaboration with local agencies and the district office to fulfill Senate Bill 187 requirements. Components of this plan include child abuse reporting procedures, teacher notification of dangerous pupil procedures, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe arrival and departure from school, sexual harassment policy, and dress code policy. The school's most recent school safety plan was reviewed and updated by school staff in December, 2018. Staff responsibilities and safety plan updates were discussed with staff in December, 2018.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2015-16				2016-17				2017-18			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
<b>K</b>	24		5		24		6		22	1	4	
<b>1</b>	24		3		24		4		24		5	
<b>2</b>	24		6		23		4		24		4	
<b>3</b>	23		5		24		6		25		4	
<b>4</b>	33		1	2	34			3	32		3	1
<b>5</b>	35			3	29	1		3	31		4	
<b>6</b>	34	1		18	26	7	14	6	32	1	7	14

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.



**Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017-18)**

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.625	N/A
Psychologist	0.5	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	2.5	N/A
Other	2.5	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)**

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	9657.52	2522.2	7135.32	79499.6
District	N/A	N/A	7257.62	\$81,300
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-1.7	-2.2
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,125	\$80,910
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-47.2	0.5

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

**Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017-18)**

Santee School District receives State and Federal funding. State funding, through the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), is allocated to a variety of funding sources based on the eight State priorities, District priorities, and annual stakeholder feedback. The eight State priorities are:

1. Basic Services
2. Implementation of Common Core Standards
3. Parent Involvement
4. Student Achievement
5. Student Engagement
6. School Climate
7. Course Access
8. Other Student Outcomes

Federal funding, Title I, Title II, and Title III, provides additional funding for intensive interventions, increased parental involvement, quality professional development for teachers and principals, and increased instructional support for English Learner students.

All funding sources are monitored and maintained through each school site's School Plan for Student Achievement and the District Local Control Accountability Plan.

**Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016-17)**

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,020	\$50,084
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,745	\$80,256
Highest Teacher Salary	\$102,529	\$100,154
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$99,282	\$125,899
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$130,255
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$128,660
Superintendent Salary	\$235,135	\$222,447
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41.0	37.0
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.0	5.0

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

**Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

All training and curriculum development activities at Pepper Drive School support the implementation of quality instructional practices in order to access the curricular content with an emphasis on the California Standards in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science (Next Generation Science Standards) as guided by curricular frameworks. At Pepper Drive, certificated staff have 27 hours of professional learning time scheduled on site. Staff and administration define the use of these hours which are utilized for team meetings, whole staff professional learning, and interest-group meetings. Classified staff are also offered a variety of professional learning opportunities throughout the year involving their specializations from department supervisors and district representatives both on site and at the district.

Beyond the on site professional learning, certificated staff members participate in centralized training at the district level. They attend professional conferences focused on increasing professional skills. They also have access to a group of teachers on special assignment that work as Curriculum Resource Teachers. Using these resources, certificated staff can tailor professional learning to their immediate needs and receive small group and even one-on-one support. Also, certificated staff may complete a personalized learning plan each year and receive compensation for professional learning outside contracted hours.

Decisions concerning selection of staff development activities are performed by staff representatives, site administration, district administrators, using tools such as teacher input, district benchmark results, data analysis, and focus groups to determine the areas in which additional teacher training may enhance classroom instruction and increase student achievement levels. Pepper Drive School offers support to new and veteran teachers through peer coaching and mentoring. All staff are encouraged to actively grow professionally as lifelong learners.